

ON Λ -CONVERGENCE ALMOST EVERYWHERE OF MULTIPLE TRIGONOMETRIC FOURIER SERIES¹

N.N. Nikolay Yu. Antonov

Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics,
 Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences;
 Ekaterinburg, Russia
 Nikolai.Antonov@imm.uran.ru

Abstract: We consider one type of convergence of multiple trigonometric Fourier series intermediate between the convergence over cubes and the λ -convergence for $\lambda > 1$. The well-known result on the almost everywhere convergence over cubes of Fourier series of functions from the class $L(\ln^+ L)^d \ln^+ \ln^+ \ln^+ L([0, 2\pi]^d)$ has been generalized to the case of the Λ -convergence for some sequences Λ .

Key words: Trigonometric Fourier series, Rectangular partial sums, Convergence almost everywhere.

Suppose that d is a natural number, $\mathbb{T}^d = [-\pi, \pi]^d$ is a d -dimensional torus, and $\varphi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a nondecreasing function. Let $\varphi(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$ be the set of all Lebesgue measurable real-valued functions f on the torus \mathbb{T}^d such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi(|f(\mathbf{t})|) d\mathbf{t} < \infty.$$

Let $f \in L(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $\mathbf{k} = (k^1, k^2, \dots, k^d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\mathbf{kx} = k^1 x^1 + k^2 x^2 + \dots + k^d x^d$. Denote by

$$c_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(\mathbf{t}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{t}} d\mathbf{t}$$

the \mathbf{k} th Fourier coefficient of the function f and by

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \tag{1}$$

the multiple trigonometric Fourier series of the function f .

Let $\mathbf{n} = (n^1, n^2, \dots, n^d)$ be a vector with nonnegative integer coordinates, and let $S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x})$ be the \mathbf{n} th rectangular partial sum of series (1):

$$S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k^1, \dots, k^d): |k^j| \leq n^j, 1 \leq j \leq d} c_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}.$$

Denote by $\text{mes}E$ the Lebesgue measure of a set E and let $\ln^+ u = \ln(u + e)$, $u \geq 0$.

In 1915, in the case $d = 1$, N.N. Luzin (see [1]) suggested that the trigonometric Fourier series of any function from $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ converges almost everywhere. A.N. Kolmogorov [2] constructed an example of a function $F \in L(\mathbb{T})$ whose trigonometric series diverges almost everywhere and, later on [3], of a function from $L(\mathbb{T})$ with the Fourier series divergent everywhere on \mathbb{T} . L. Carleson [4] proved that Luzin's conjecture is true: if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, then the Fourier series of the function f converges almost

¹This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project no. 14-11-00702).

everywhere. R. Hunt [5] generalized the statement about the almost everywhere convergence of the Fourier series to the class $L(\ln^+ L)^2(\mathbb{T})$, particularly, to $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ with $p > 1$. P. Sjölin [6] generalized it to the wider class $L(\ln^+ L)(\ln^+ \ln^+ L)(\mathbb{T})$. In [7], the author showed that the condition $f \in L(\ln^+ L)(\ln^+ \ln^+ \ln^+ L)(\mathbb{T})$ is also sufficient for the almost everywhere convergence of the Fourier series of the function f . At present, the best negative result in this direction belongs to S.V. Konyagin [8]: if a function $\varphi(u)$ satisfies the condition $\varphi(u) = o(u\sqrt{\ln u / \ln \ln u})$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$, then, in the class $\varphi(L)(\mathbb{T})$, there exists a function with the Fourier series divergent everywhere on \mathbb{T} .

Let us now consider the case $d \geq 2$, i.e., the case of multiple Fourier series. Let $\lambda \geq 1$. A multiple Fourier series of a function f is called λ -convergent at a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ if there exists a limit

$$\lim_{\min\{n^j: 1 \leq j \leq d\} \rightarrow +\infty} S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x})$$

considered only for vectors $\mathbf{n} = (n^1, n^2, \dots, n^d)$ such that $1/\lambda \leq n^i/n^j \leq \lambda$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. The λ -convergence is called the convergence over cubes (the convergence over squares for $d = 2$) in the case $\lambda = 1$ and the Pringsheim convergence in the case $\lambda = +\infty$, i. e., in the case without any restrictions on the relation between coordinates of vectors \mathbf{n} .

N.R. Tevzadze [9] proved that, if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$, then the Fourier series of the function f converges over cubes almost everywhere. Ch. Fefferman [10] generalized this result to functions from $L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $p > 1$, $d \geq 2$. P. Sjölin [11] showed that, if a function f is from the class $L(\ln^+ L)^d(\ln^+ \ln^+ L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $d \geq 2$, then its Fourier series converges over cubes almost everywhere. The author [12] (see also [13]) proved the almost everywhere convergence over cubes of Fourier series of functions from the class $L(\ln^+ L)^d(\ln^+ \ln^+ \ln^+ L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$. The best current result concerning the divergence over cubes on a set of positive measure of multiple Fourier series of functions from $\varphi(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $d \geq 2$, belongs to S.V. Konyagin [14]: for any function $\varphi(u) = o(u(\ln u)^{d-1} \ln \ln u)$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists a function $F \in \varphi(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with the Fourier series divergent over cubes everywhere.

On the other hand, Ch. Fefferman [15] constructed an example of a continuous function of two variables, i. e., a function from $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ whose Fourier series diverges in the Pringsheim sense everywhere on \mathbb{T}^2 . M. Bakhbukh and E.M. Nikishin [16] proved that there exists $F \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that its modulus of continuity satisfies the condition $\omega(F, \delta) = O(\ln^{-1}(1/\delta))$ as $\delta \rightarrow +0$ and its Fourier series diverges in the Pringsheim sense almost everywhere. A.N. Bakhvalov [17] established that, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\lambda > 1$, there is a function $F \in C(\mathbb{T}^{2m})$ such that the Fourier series of F is λ -divergent everywhere and the modulus of continuity of F satisfies the condition

$$\omega(F, \delta) = O(\ln^{-m}(1/\delta)), \quad \delta \rightarrow +0. \tag{2}$$

Later on, Bakhvalov [18] proved the existence of a function $F \in C(\mathbb{T}^{2m})$ satisfying condition (2) and such that its Fourier series is λ -divergent for all $\lambda > 1$ simultaneously.

Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ be a nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers. Assume that

$$\Omega_\Lambda = \left\{ \mathbf{n} = (n^1, n^2, \dots, n^d) \in \mathbb{N}^d : \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{n^i}} \leq \frac{n^i}{n^j} \leq 1 + \lambda_{n^j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d \right\}.$$

We will say that a multiple Fourier series of a function $f \in L(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is Λ -convergent at a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ if there exists a limit

$$\lim_{\mathbf{n} \in \Omega_\Lambda, \min\{n^j: 1 \leq j \leq d\} \rightarrow \infty} S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x}).$$

Let us note that, if $\lambda_\nu \equiv \lambda - 1$ for some $\lambda > 1$, then the condition of Λ -convergence turns into the condition of λ -convergence defined above. And if $\lambda_\nu \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, then the condition of Λ -convergence is weaker than the condition of λ -convergence for any $\lambda > 1$.

The author proved [19] that, if a sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ satisfies the condition $\ln^2 \lambda_\nu = o(\ln \nu)$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a function $F \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that its Fourier series is Λ -divergent almost everywhere on \mathbb{T}^2 .

In the present paper, we obtain the following statement that strengthens the result of [12].

Theorem 1. *Assume that a nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers $\Lambda = \{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ satisfies the condition*

$$\lambda_\nu = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right) \quad (3)$$

and a function $\varphi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is convex on $[0, +\infty)$ and such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(u)u^{-1}$ increases on $[u_0, +\infty)$, and $\varphi(u)u^{-1-\delta}$ decreases on $[u_0, +\infty)$ for some $u_0 \geq 0$ and any $\delta > 0$. Assume that the trigonometric Fourier series of any function $g \in \varphi(L)(\mathbb{T})$ converges almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} . Then, for any $d \geq 2$, the Fourier series of any function f from the class $\varphi(L)(\ln^+ L)^{d-1}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is Λ -convergent almost everywhere on \mathbb{T}^d .

Theorem 1 and the result of paper [7] imply the following statement.

Theorem 2. *Let a nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers $\Lambda = \{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ satisfy condition (3), $d \geq 2$. Then the Fourier series of any function f from the class*

$$L(\ln^+ L)^d(\ln^+ \ln^+ \ln^+ L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$$

is Λ -convergent almost everywhere on \mathbb{T}^d .

P r o o f of Theorem 1. Let a sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ and a function φ satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Let $\varphi_d(u) = \varphi(u)(\ln^+ u)^{d-1}$ for short. Without loss of generality, we can consider only functions φ_d such that the functions $\varphi_d(\sqrt{u})$ are concave on $[0, +\infty)$. Otherwise, we can consider the functions $\varphi_d(u + a_d) - b_d$ (with appropriate constants a_d and b_d) instead of φ_d . The corresponding class $\varphi_d(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$ will be the same in this case.

Denote by $S_n(f, \mathbf{x})$ the n th cubic partial sum of the Fourier series of the function f :

$$S_n(f, \mathbf{x}) = S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x}), \quad \text{where } \mathbf{n} = (n, \dots, n).$$

Suppose that

$$M(f, \mathbf{x}) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |S_n(f, \mathbf{x})|,$$

$$M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) = \sup_{\mathbf{n} \in \Omega_\Lambda} |S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x})|.$$

Under the conditions of the theorem (see [12, formula (3.1) and Lemma 3]), there are constants $K_d > 0$ and $y_d \geq 0$ such that

$$\text{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \right\} \leq \frac{K_d}{y} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_d(|f(\mathbf{x})|) d\mathbf{x} + 1 \right), \quad y > y_d, \quad f \in \varphi_d(L)(\mathbb{T}^d). \quad (4)$$

Using (4), we will prove that, for every $y > y_d$ and $f \in \varphi_d(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$,

$$\text{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \right\} \leq \frac{A_d}{y} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_d(|f(\mathbf{x})|) d\mathbf{x} + 1 \right) \quad (5)$$

and, for every $f \in \varphi_{d+1}(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} M_{\Lambda}(f, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \leq B_d \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_{d+1}(|f(\mathbf{x})|) d\mathbf{x} + 1 \right), \quad (6)$$

where A_d is independent of f and y ; B_d is independent of f .

The proof is by induction on d . Consider the base case, i. e., $d = 1$: statement (5) immediately follows from (4) because $M(f, \mathbf{x}) = M_{\Lambda}(f, \mathbf{x})$ in the one-dimensional case. Similarly, (6) is a consequence of [5, Theorem 2].

Let $d \geq 2$. Suppose that statements (5) and (6) hold for $d - 1$ and let us show that the same is true for d .

First, let us prove the validity of (5). Let $\mathbf{n} = (n^1, n^2, \dots, n^d) \in \Omega_{\Lambda}$. According to (3), there is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that $\lambda_{\nu} \nu \leq C$ for all natural numbers ν . Combining this with the definition of Ω_{Λ} , we obtain that, for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$,

$$|n^i - n^j| \leq C. \quad (7)$$

Recall that, if $\mathbf{n} = (n^1, n^2, \dots, n^d)$, then the following representation holds for the \mathbf{n} th rectangular partial sum of the Fourier series of the function f :

$$S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \prod_{j=1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) f(x^1 + t^1, \dots, x^d + t^d) dt^1 \dots dt^d, \quad (8)$$

where $D_n(t) = \sin((n + 1/2)t)/(2 \sin(t/2))$ is the one-dimensional Dirichlet kernel of order n . Let us add to and subtract from the d -dimensional Dirichlet kernel $\prod_{j=1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j)$ of order \mathbf{n} the sum

$$\sum_{k=2}^d \left(\prod_{j=1}^k D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) \right)$$

(here and in what follows, we suppose that all products \prod with an upper index less than a lower one are equal to 1). Rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) - \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+2}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) \right) + \prod_{j=1}^d D_{n^1}(t^j) = \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^d \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) \left(D_{n^k}(t^k) - D_{n^1}(t^k) \right) \right) + \prod_{j=1}^d D_{n^1}(t^j). \end{aligned}$$

From this and (8), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k=2}^d \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) (D_{n^k}(t^k) - D_{n^1}(t^k)) \right) \times \\
&\times f(x^1 + t^1, \dots, x^d + t^d) dt^1 \dots dt^d + \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \prod_{j=1}^d D_{n^1}(t^j) f(x^1 + t^1, \dots, x^d + t^d) dt^1 \dots dt^d = \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^d \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (D_{n^k}(t^k) - D_{n^1}(t^k)) \times \\
&\times \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) f(x^1 + t^1, \dots, x^d + t^d) dt^1 \dots dt^{k-1} dt^{k+1} \dots dt^d \right) dt^k + S_{n^1}(f, \mathbf{x}).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Note that the latter term on the right hand side of (9) is the n^1 th cubic partial sum of the Fourier series of the function f . By (7), for all $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we have $|D_{n^k}(t) - D_{n^1}(t)| \leq C$. Combining this with (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_{\mathbf{n}}(f, \mathbf{x})| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^d \frac{C}{\pi^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) \times \right. \\
&\times f(x^1 + t^1, \dots, x^{k-1} + t^{k-1}, t^k, x^{k+1} + t^{k+1}, \dots, x^d + t^d) dt^1 \dots dt^{k-1} dt^{k+1} \dots dt^d \left. \right| dt^k + |S_{n^1}(f, \mathbf{x})|.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the definitions of $M_{\Lambda}(f, \mathbf{x})$ and $M(f, \mathbf{x})$, from the latter estimate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\Lambda}(f, \mathbf{x}) &\leq M(f, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{C}{\pi} \sum_{k=2}^d \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{\mathbf{n}=(n^1, n^2, \dots, n^d) \in \Omega_{\Lambda}} \left| \frac{1}{\pi^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) \times \right. \\
&\times f(x^1 + t^1, \dots, x^{k-1} + t^{k-1}, t^k, x^{k+1} + t^{k+1}, \dots, x^d + t^d) dt^1 \dots dt^{k-1} dt^{k+1} \dots dt^d \left. \right| dt^k = \tag{10} \\
&= M(f, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{C}{\pi} \sum_{k=2}^d M_k(f, \mathbf{x}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_k(f, \mathbf{x})$ denotes the k th term of the sum on the left hand side of the equality in (10). Let $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$. Consider $M_k(f, \mathbf{x})$. Denote by g_{k, t^k} the function of $d-1$ variables that can be obtained from the function f by fixing the k th variable t^k :

$$g_{k, t^k}(t^1, \dots, t^{k-1}, t^{k+1}, \dots, t^d) = f(t^1, \dots, t^{k-1}, t^k, t^{k+1}, \dots, t^d), \quad (t^1, \dots, t^{k-1}, t^{k+1}, \dots, t^d) \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}.$$

Define Ω'_{Λ} as the set of $\mathbf{m}_k = (m^1, \dots, m^{k-1}, m^{k+1}, \dots, m^d) \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ such that $\mathbf{m} = (m^1, \dots, m^d) \in \Omega_{\Lambda}$. Note that, in view of the invariance of Ω_{Λ} with respect to a rearrangement of variables, the set Ω'_{Λ} is independent of k . Suppose that $\mathbf{n}'_k = (n^1, \dots, n^1, n^{k+1}, \dots, n^d) \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\pi^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} D_{n^1}(t^j) \prod_{j=k+1}^d D_{n^j}(t^j) \times \\
&\times f(x^1 + t^1, \dots, x^{k-1} + t^{k-1}, t^k, x^{k+1} + t^{k+1}, \dots, x^d + t^d) dt^1 \dots dt^{k-1} dt^{k+1} \dots dt^d =
\end{aligned}$$

$$= S_{\mathbf{n}'_k} \left(g_{k,t^k}, (x^1, \dots, x^{k-1}, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^d) \right)$$

and

$$M_k(f, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{\mathbf{n}'_k \in \Omega'_\Lambda} \left| S_{\mathbf{n}'_k} \left(g_{k,x^k}, (x^1, \dots, x^{k-1}, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^d) \right) \right| dx^k.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_k(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \right\} &= 2\pi \text{mes} \left\{ (x^1, \dots, x^{k-1}, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^d) \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1} : M_k(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi}{y} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} M_k(f, \mathbf{x}) dx^1 \dots dx^{k-1} dx^{k+1} \dots dx^d = \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{y} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \sup_{\mathbf{n}'_k \in \Omega'_\Lambda} \left| S_{\mathbf{n}'_k} \left(g_{k,x^k}, (x^1, \dots, x^{k-1}, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^d) \right) \right| d\mathbf{x} = \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{y} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \sup_{\mathbf{n}'_k \in \Omega'_\Lambda} \left| S_{\mathbf{n}'_k} \left(g_{k,x^k}, (x^1, \dots, x^{k-1}, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^d) \right) \right| dx^1 \dots dx^{k-1} dx^{k+1} \dots dx^d \right) dx^k. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From this, applying the induction hypothesis (more precisely, statement (6) for the dimension $d-1$) to the inner integral on the right hand part of (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_k(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \right\} &\leq \frac{2\pi}{y} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(B_{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \varphi_d(|f(\mathbf{x})|) dx^1 \dots dx^{k-1} dx^{k+1} \dots dx^d + 1 \right) dx^k \leq \\ &\leq \frac{(2\pi)^2 B_{d-1}}{y} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_d(|f(\mathbf{x})|) d\mathbf{x} + 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

According to (10),

$$\left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \right\} \subset \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M(f, \mathbf{x}) > \frac{y}{2} \right\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=2}^d \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_k(f, \mathbf{x}) > \frac{\pi y}{2(d-1)C} \right\} \right). \quad (13)$$

Combining (13), (4) and (12), we obtain (5) with the constant $A_d = 2K_d + 8\pi(d-1)^2 B_{d-1} C$.

Now, we only need to prove the validity of statement (6). To this end, let us use statement (5) proved above.

From (5), it follows that the majorant $M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x})$ is finite almost everywhere on \mathbb{T}^d for all $f \in \varphi_d(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$, in particular, for all $f \in L^2(T^d)$. Applying Stein's theorem on limits of sequences of operators [20, Theorem 1], we see that the operator $M_\Lambda(f, \cdot)$ is of weak type $(2, 2)$, i.e., there is a constant $A_d^2 > 0$ such that, for all $y > 0$ and $f \in L^2(T^d)$,

$$\text{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \right\} \leq \frac{A_d^2}{y^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \quad (14)$$

Similarly, from [20, Theorem 3], we can obtain the following refinement of statement (5): there is a constant $\bar{A}_d > 0$ such that, for all $y \geq \bar{y}_d/2 = \bar{A}_d$ and $f \in \varphi_d(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$,

$$\text{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \right\} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_d \left(\frac{\bar{A}_d |f(\mathbf{x})|}{y} \right) d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{\bar{A}_d}{y} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_d(|f(\mathbf{x})|) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (15)$$

Further, let $f \in \varphi_d(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $y > 0$. Suppose that

$$g(x) = g_y(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & |f(x)| > y, \\ 0, & |f(x)| \leq y; \end{cases} \quad h(x) = h_y(x) = f(x) - g(x).$$

Define $\lambda_f(y) = \text{mes} \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) > y \}$. Then

$$\lambda_f(y) \leq \text{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_\Lambda(g, \mathbf{x}) > y/2 \right\} + \text{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d : M_\Lambda(h, \mathbf{x}) > y/2 \right\} = \lambda_g(y/2) + \lambda_h(y/2).$$

From this, using the equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = - \int_0^\infty y \, d\lambda_f(y) = \int_0^\infty \lambda_f(y) \, dy$$

(see, for example, [21, Chapter 1, § 13, formula (13.6)]), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \bar{y}_d (2\pi)^d + \int_{\bar{y}_d}^\infty \lambda_f(y) \, dy \leq \bar{y}_d (2\pi)^d + \int_{\bar{y}_d}^\infty \lambda_g\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) \, dy + \int_{\bar{y}_d}^\infty \lambda_h\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) \, dy. \quad (16)$$

Taking into account that $g \in \varphi_d(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d) \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and applying estimate (15) to $\lambda_g(y/2)$ and estimate (14) to $\lambda_h(y/2)$, from (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &\leq \bar{y}_d (2\pi)^d + 2\bar{A}_d \int_{\bar{y}_d}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{y} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi_d(|g(\mathbf{t})|) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dy + 4A_d^2 \int_{\bar{y}_d}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{y^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |h(\mathbf{t})|^2 \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dy = \\ &= \bar{y}_d (2\pi)^d + 2\bar{A}_d \int_{\bar{y}_d}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{y} \int_{\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^d : |f(\mathbf{t})| > y\}} \varphi_d(|f(\mathbf{t})|) \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dy + 4A_d^2 \int_{\bar{y}_d}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{y^2} \int_{\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^d : |f(\mathbf{t})| \leq y\}} |f(\mathbf{t})|^2 \, d\mathbf{t} \right) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Applying Fubini's theorem to the integrals on the right hand side of (17), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} M_\Lambda(f, \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &\leq 2\bar{A}_d \int_{\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^d : |f(\mathbf{t})| > \bar{y}_d\}} \varphi_d(|f(\mathbf{t})|) \left(\int_{\bar{y}_d}^{|f(\mathbf{t})|} \frac{dy}{y} \right) d\mathbf{t} + \\ &\quad + 4A_d^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |f(\mathbf{t})|^2 \left(\int_{|f(\mathbf{t})|}^\infty \frac{dy}{y^2} \right) d\mathbf{t} + \bar{y}_d (2\pi)^d, \end{aligned}$$

hence, statement (6) follows easily.

Finally, the Λ -convergence of the Fourier series of an arbitrary function from the class $\varphi_d(L)(\mathbb{T}^d)$ can be obtained from (5) by means of standard arguments (see, for example, [12, Lemma 3]). Theorem 1 is proved. \square

REFERENCES

1. **Luzin N.N.** Integral and trigonometric series. Moscow – Leningrad: GITTL. 1951. 550 p. [in Russian]
2. **Kolmogoroff A.** Une série de Fourier – Lebesgue divergente presque partout // *Fund. math.*, 1923. Vol. 4. P. 324–328.
3. **Kolmogoroff A.** Une série de Fourier – Lebesgue divergente partout // *C. r. Acad. sci. Paris*, 1926. Vol. 183. P. 1327–1329.
4. **Carleson L.** On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series // *Acta math.*, 1966. Vol. 116, no. 1–2. P. 135–157. DOI: 10.1007/BF02392815
5. **Hunt R.A.** On the convergence of Fourier series // *Orthogonal expansions and their continuous analogues*. SIU Press, Carbondale, Illinois, 1968. P. 235–255.
6. **Sjölin P.** An inequality of Paley and convergence a.e. of Walsh-Fourier series // *Arkiv för mat.*, 1969. Vol. 7. P. 551–570. DOI: 10.1007/BF02590894
7. **Antonov N.Yu.** Convergence of Fourier series // *East Journal on Approximations*, 1996. Vol. 2, no. 2. P. 187–196.
8. **Konyagin S.V.** On everywhere divergence of trigonometric Fourier series // *Sb. Math.*, 2000. Vol. 191, no. 1. P. 97–120. DOI: 10.1070/SM2000v191n01ABEH000449
9. **Tevzadze N.R.** The convergence of the double Fourier series of a square integrable function // *Soobshch. AN GSSR*, 1970. Vol. 58, no. 2. P. 277–279. [in Russian]
10. **Fefferman C.** On the convergence of multiple Fourier series // *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1971. Vol. 77, no. 5. P. 744–745. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1971-12793-3
11. **Sjölin P.** Convergence almost everywhere of certain singular integrals and multiple Fourier series // *Arkiv för mat.*, 1971. Vol. 9, no. 1. P. 65–90. DOI: 10.1007/BF02383638
12. **Antonov N.Yu.** Almost everywhere convergence over cubes of multiple trigonometric Fourier series // *Izv. Math.*, 2004. Vol. 68, no. 2, P. 223–241. DOI: 10.1070/IM2004v068n02ABEH000472
13. **Antonov N.Yu.** On the almost everywhere convergence of sequences of multiple rectangular Fourier sums // *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 2009. Vol. 264, Suppl. 1. P. S1–S18. DOI: 10.1134/S0081543809050010
14. **Konyagin S.V.** On divergence of trigonometric Fourier series over cubes // *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 1995. Vol. 61. P. 305–329.
15. **Fefferman C.** On the divergence of multiple Fourier series // *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1971. Vol. 77, no. 2. P. 191–195. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1971-12675-7
16. **Bakhbukh M., Nikishin E. M.** The convergence of the double Fourier series of continuous functions // *Siberian Math. Journal*, 1973. Vol. 14, no. 6. P. 832–839. DOI: 10.1007/BF00975888
17. **Bakhvalov A. N.** Divergence everywhere of the Fourier series of continuous functions of several variables // *Sb. Math.*, 1997. Vol. 188, no. 8. P. 1153–1170. DOI: 10.1070/SM1997v188n08ABEH000240.
18. **Bakhvalov A. N.** λ -divergence of the Fourier series of continuous functions of several variables // *Mathematical Notes*, 2002. Vol. 72, no. 3–4. P. 454–465. DOI: 10.4213/mzm438
19. **Antonov N.Yu.** On divergence almost everywhere of Fourier series of continuous functions of two variables // *Izvestiya of Saratov University. New ser. Ser. Math. Mech. Inform.*, 2014. Vol. 14, iss. 4, pt. 2. P. 495–502. [in Russian]
20. **Stein E.M.** On limits of sequences of operators // *Ann. Math.*, 1961. Vol. 74, no. 1. P. 140–170.
21. **Zygmund A.** *Trigonometric series*, vol. 1. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959. 383 p.