

AM 034 — Applied Mathematics - II

Brown University

Solutions to Homework, Set 3

Spring 2019

Due February 27

3.1 (20 points) Which of the following matrices is diagonalizable?

$$(a) \begin{bmatrix} -731 & 228 & 690 \\ -410 & 131 & 385 \\ -650 & 202 & 614 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (b) \begin{bmatrix} -41 & 18 & -6 \\ -126 & 55 & -18 \\ -63 & 27 & -8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (c) \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & 0 \\ -24 & 10 & 3 \\ 33 & -15 & 10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find minimal polynomials for each of the above matrices.

Solution. *Grading:*

+5 for resolvent, +5 for minimal polynomials, +1 for checking that the minimal polynomial annihilates the matrix, +9 for determination of the matrices to be diagonalizable.

(a) The resolvent $\mathbf{R}_\lambda(\mathbf{A}) = (\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ of the given matrix is

$$\mathbf{R}_\lambda = \frac{1}{(\lambda - 9)(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)} \begin{bmatrix} 2664 - 745\lambda + \lambda^2 & -612 + 228\lambda & 30(-87 + 23\lambda) \\ 1490 - 410\lambda & \lambda^2 + 117\lambda - 334 & 5(-293 + 77\lambda) \\ 2330 - 650\lambda & -538 + 202\lambda & -2281 + 600\lambda + \lambda^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Mathematica codes:

```
A = {{-731, 228, 690}, {-410, 131, 385}, {-650, 202, 614}}
Eigenvalues[A]
{9, 4, 1}
RA[lambda_] =
Simplify[Inverse[
lambda*IdentityMatrix[3] - A]*(lambda - 9)*(lambda - 4)*(lambda - 1)]
{{2664 - 745 lambda + lambda^2, -612 + 228 lambda,
30 (-87 + 23 lambda)}, {1490 - 410 lambda, -334 + 117 lambda +
lambda^2,
5 (-293 + 77 lambda)}, {2330 - 650 lambda, -538 +
202 lambda, -2281 + 600 lambda + lambda^2}}
```

Since all eigenvalues are distinct, the given matrix is diagonalizable and its minimal polynomial is

$$\psi(\lambda) = (\lambda - 9)(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1) = \lambda^3 - 14\lambda^2 + 49\lambda - 36.$$

Trace of the matrix \mathbf{A} is $-731 + 131 + 614 = 14 = 9 + 4 + 1$ and its determinant is $36 = 9 * 4 * 1$.

Now we check whether the characteristic polynomial is its minimal polynomial:

$$\mathbf{A}^3 - 14\mathbf{A}^2 + 49\mathbf{A} - 36\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Mathematica confirms:

```

CharacteristicPolynomial[A, x]
36 - 49 x + 14 x^2 - x^3
MatrixPower[A, 3] - 14 MatrixPower[A, 2] + 49*A - 36*IdentityMatrix[3]
{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}

```

There is another way to check the minimal polynomial with *Mathematica*:

```
(A - IdentityMatrix[3]).(A - 4*IdentityMatrix[3]).(A - 9*IdentityMatrix[3])
```

(b) Matrix **B** has three positive eigenvalues $\lambda = 1, 1, 4$ (so $\lambda = 1$ is a double eigenvalue), and its resolvent is

$$\mathbf{R}_\lambda(\mathbf{B}) = (\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})^{-1} = \frac{1}{\psi(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 46 & 18 & -6 \\ -126 & \lambda + 50 & -18 \\ 63 & 27 & \lambda - 13 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\psi(\lambda) = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1) = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 4$$

is the minimal polynomial for the given 3×3 matrix. We check with *Mathematica*:

```

B = {{-41, 18, -6}, {-126, 55, -18}, {-63, 27, -8}}
Eigenvalues[B]
{4, 1, 1}
Simplify[Inverse[lambda*IdentityMatrix[3] - B]]
{{(-46 + lambda)/((-4 + lambda)(-1 + lambda)), 18/(
  4 - 5 lambda + lambda^2), -(6/(4 - 5 lambda + lambda^2))}, {-(

  126/(4 - 5 lambda + lambda^2)), (50 + lambda)/(
  4 - 5 lambda + lambda^2), -(18/(4 - 5 lambda + lambda^2))}, {-(

  63/(4 - 5 lambda + lambda^2)), 27/(
  4 - 5 lambda + lambda^2), (-13 +
  lambda)/((-4 + lambda)(-1 + lambda))}}

```

Mathematica shows that $\psi(\lambda)$ is the minimal polynomial for matrix **B**:

```

B.B - 5*B + 4*IdentityMatrix[3]
{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}

```

(c) Consider matrix **C** and calculate its resolvent:

```

c = {{-14, 6, 0}, {-24, 10, 3}, {33, -15, 10}}
Eigenvalues[c]
{4, 1, 1}

```

Then we ask *Mathematica* to find its resolvent:

```
Simplify[Inverse[lambda*IdentityMatrix[3] - c]]
{{(145 - 20 lambda + lambda^2)/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2), (
 6 (-10 + lambda))/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2),
 18/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2)}, {(
 339 - 24 lambda)/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2), (-140 + 4 lambda +
 lambda^2)/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2), (
 3 (14 + lambda))/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2)}, {(
 30 + 33 lambda)/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2), -(((
 3 (4 + 5 lambda))/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2)), (2 +
 lambda)^2/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2)}}
Expand[(x - 1)^2*(x - 4)]
```

So its resolvent is

$$\mathbf{R}_\lambda = (\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C})^{-1} = \frac{1}{\psi(\lambda)} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 - 20\lambda + 145 & 6(\lambda - 10) & 18 \\ 339 - 24\lambda & \lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 140 & 3(\lambda + 14) \\ 33\lambda + 30 & -3(5\lambda + 4) & (\lambda + 2)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\psi(\lambda) = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)^2 = \lambda^3 - 6\lambda^2 + 9\lambda - 4$$

is the minimal polynomial for the given 3×3 matrix.

We check with *Mathematica*

```
MatrixPower[c, 3] - 6 MatrixPower[c, 2] + 9*c - 4*IdentityMatrix[3]
{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}
```

but

```
(c-IdentityMatrix[3]).(c-4*IdentityMatrix[3])
{{126, -54, 18}, {315, -135, 45}, {63, -27, 9}}
```

which is not zero matrix. Therefore the characteristic polynomial for matrix \mathbf{C} is its minimal polynomial, and therefore this matrix is not diagonalizable.

```
1 clear all; close all; clc;
3 % this script checks the minimal polynomials of the matrices A, B, and C
% and computes the Sylvester auxiliary matrices for A and B
5 A = [-731 228 690;
       -410 131 385;
       -650 202 614];
```

```

9  B = [-41 18 -6;
11   -126 55 -18;
13   -63 27 -8];
15
13  C = [-14 6 0;
15   -24 10 3;
17   33 -15 10];
19
21 % compute eigenvalues of A and B
23 LA = eig(A);
LB = eig(B);
LC = eig(C);
25
27 % eigenvalues of A are distinct, so we just check that the characteristic
% polynomial is indeed the minimal polynomial
(A-LA(1)*Id)*(A-LA(2)*Id)*(A-LA(3)*Id)
29
31 % for B, the minimal polynomial is either the characteristic polynomial or
% the polynomial with only a single factor of each eigenvalue
33 (B-LB(1)*Id)*(B-LB(2)*Id)*(B-LB(3)*Id)
(B-LB(1)*Id)*(B-LB(2)*Id)
35
37 % for C, we repeat the steps from B
39 (C-LC(1)*Id)*(C-LC(2)*Id)*(C-LC(3)*Id)
(C-LC(1)*Id)*(C-LC(2)*Id)
41
43 % compute Sylvester matrices of A
45 ZA1 = (A-LA(2)*Id).*(A-LA(3)*Id)/((LA(1)-LA(2))*(LA(1)-LA(3)));
ZA2 = (A-LA(1)*Id).*(A-LA(3)*Id)/((LA(2)-LA(1))*(LA(2)-LA(3)));
ZA3 = (A-LA(1)*Id).*(A-LA(2)*Id)/((LA(3)-LA(1))*(LA(3)-LA(1)));
47
49 % compute Sylvester matrices of B
51 ZB1 = (B-LB(2)*Id)/(LB(1)-LB(2));
53 ZB2 = (B-LB(1)*Id)/(LB(2)-LB(1));

```

3.2 (20 points) For matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} from parts (a) and (b) of the previous exercise, find

$$\Phi_A(t) = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\mathbf{A}}t)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{A}}}, \quad \Phi_B(t) = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\mathbf{B}}t)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{B}}}, \quad \Psi_A(t) = \cos(\sqrt{\mathbf{A}}t), \quad \Psi_B(t) = \cos(\sqrt{\mathbf{B}}t).$$

Solution. Grading: PART A: +5 for Φ , +5 for Ψ . PART B: +5 for Φ , +5 for Ψ .

(a) Using Sylvester's formula, we define the matrix-functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t) &= \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} \\ \hline \lambda=9 \end{array} \right. \\ &= \frac{\sin 3t}{3} \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \frac{\sin 2t}{2} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \sin t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(t) &= \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \Big|_{\lambda=9} \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \Big|_{\lambda=64} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \Big|_{\lambda=64} \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} \\ &= \cos 3t \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \cos 2t \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \cos t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Z}_{(9)} &= \frac{(\mathbf{A} - 4\mathbf{I})(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})}{(9-4)(9-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -99 & 36 & 90 \\ -55 & 20 & 50 \\ -88 & 32 & 80 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} &= \frac{(\mathbf{A} - 9\mathbf{I})(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})}{(4-1)(4-9)} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -20 & -10 \\ 10 & -10 & -5 \\ 18 & -18 & -9 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} &= \frac{(\mathbf{A} - 4\mathbf{I})(\mathbf{A} - 9\mathbf{I})}{(1-4)(1-9)} = \begin{bmatrix} 80 & -16 & -80 \\ 45 & -9 & -45 \\ 70 & -14 & -70 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

are Sylvester's auxiliary matrices. They are orthogonal projections on the eigenspaces:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{(1)}^2 = \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_{(4)}^2 = \mathbf{Z}_{(4)}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_{(9)}^2 = \mathbf{Z}_{(9)},$$

and

$$\mathbf{Z}_{(1)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} = \mathbf{I},$$

the identity matrix.

Mathematica codes:

```
A = {{-731, 228, 690}, {-410, 131, 385}, {-650, 202, 614}}
Z1 = Simplify[(A - 4*IdentityMatrix[3]).(A -
  9*IdentityMatrix[3])/(1 - 4)/(1 - 9)]
{{80, -16, -80}, {45, -9, -45}, {70, -14, -70}}
Z1.Z1
{{80, -16, -80}, {45, -9, -45}, {70, -14, -70}}
Z4 = Simplify[(A - IdentityMatrix[3]).(A - 9*IdentityMatrix[3])/(4 -
  1)/(4 - 9)]
{{20, -20, -10}, {10, -10, -5}, {18, -18, -9}}
```

Z4.Z4

```

{{20, -20, -10}, {10, -10, -5}, {18, -18, -9}}
Z9 = Simplify[(A - IdentityMatrix[3]).(A - 4*IdentityMatrix[3])/(9 -
1)/(9 - 4)]
{{-99, 36, 90}, {-55, 20, 50}, {-88, 32, 80}}
Z9.Z9
{{-99, 36, 90}, {-55, 20, 50}, {-88, 32, 80}}
Z1 + Z4 + Z9
{{1, 0, 0}, {0, 1, 0}, {0, 0, 1}}

```

(b) Similarly, independently which square root was chosen, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t) &= \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left| \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} + \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}t)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} \right|_{\lambda=4} \\ &= \sin t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} + \frac{\sin 2t}{2} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} = \sin t \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\sin 2t}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(t) &= \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \left| \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} + \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t) \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} \right|_{\lambda=4} \\ &= \cos t \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \cos 2t \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Z}_{(4)} &= \frac{\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{I}}{4-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} &= \frac{\mathbf{B} - 4\mathbf{I}}{1-4} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

are Sylvester's auxiliary matrices. They are orthogonal projections on the eigenspaces:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{(1)}^2 = \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_{(4)}^2 = \mathbf{Z}_{(4)}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} = \mathbf{0},$$

and

$$\mathbf{Z}_{(1)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} = \mathbf{I},$$

the identity matrix.

```

B = {{-41, 18, -6}, {-126, 55, -18}, {-63, 27, -8}}
Z4 = (B - IdentityMatrix[3])/(4 - 1)
Z1 = (B - 4*IdentityMatrix[3])/(1 - 4)
Z1.Z1 - Z1
{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}
Z4.Z4 - Z4
{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}
Z1.Z4
{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}

```

3.3 (20 points) For each matrix from the previous exercise, show that the matrix-functions $\Phi(t)$ and $\Psi(t)$ satisfy the matrix differential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Phi_A(t) + \mathbf{A}\Phi(t) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Psi_A(t) + \mathbf{A}\Psi(t) = \mathbf{0}.$$

(Similar equations are valid for matrix \mathbf{B} .) For each matrix \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} , what initial conditions do these matrix-functions $\Phi(t)$ and $\Psi(t)$ satisfy?

Solution. *Grading: PART A: +5 for showing for Φ , +5 for showing for Ψ . PART B: +5 for showing for Φ , +5 for showing for Ψ .*

(a) First, we check the initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(0) &= \frac{\sin(3*0)}{3} \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \frac{\sin(2*0)}{2} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \frac{\sin(1*0)}{1} \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} = \mathbf{0}, \\ \Psi(0) &= \cos(3*0) \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \cos(2*0) \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \cos(1*0) \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} = \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} = \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first derivatives, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) &= \dot{\Phi}(t) = \cos 3t \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \cos 2t \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \cos t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t) &= \dot{\Psi}(t) = -3 \sin 3t \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} - 2 \sin 2t \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} - \sin t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $t = 0$, we get

$$\dot{\Phi}(0) = \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} = \mathbf{I}.$$

Obviously, $\dot{\Psi}(0) = \mathbf{0}$. Calculating second derivatives, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Phi(t) &= -3 \sin 3t \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} - 2 \sin 2t \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} - \sin t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}, \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Psi(t) &= -9 \cos 3t \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} - 4 \cos 2t \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} - \cos t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that matrix functions $\Phi(t)$ and $\Psi(t)$ satisfy the second order matrix differential equation, we have to show that

$$9 \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} \quad 4 \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}.$$

Indeed, it is easy to check with a software.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} &= \begin{bmatrix} -891 & 324 & 810 \\ -495 & 180 & 450 \\ -792 & 288 & 720 \end{bmatrix} = 9 \begin{bmatrix} -99 & 36 & 90 \\ -55 & 20 & 50 \\ -88 & 32 & 80 \end{bmatrix} = 9 \mathbf{Z}_{(9)}, \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 80 & -80 & -40 \\ 40 & -40 & -20 \\ 72 & -72 & -36 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -20 & -10 \\ 10 & -10 & -5 \\ 18 & -18 & -9 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \mathbf{Z}_{(4)}, \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} &= \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 80 & -16 & -80 \\ 45 & -9 & -45 \\ 70 & -14 & -70 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Mathematica codes:

```
A = {{-731, 228, 690}, {-410, 131, 385}, {-650, 202, 614}}
Z9.A/9
A.Z9/9
{{{-99, 36, 90}, {-55, 20, 50}, {-88, 32, 80}}}
A.Z4/4
{{{20, -20, -10}, {10, -10, -5}, {18, -18, -9}}}
Z1.A
{{{80, -16, -80}, {45, -9, -45}, {70, -14, -70}}}
```

(b) Calculating derivatives, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Phi(t) &= -\sin t \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \sin 2t \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Psi(t) &= -\cos t \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} - 4 \cos 2t \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Next step is to evaluate products of matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} -41 & 18 & -6 \\ -126 & 55 & -18 \\ -63 & 27 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}, \\ \mathbf{B} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} &= \begin{bmatrix} -41 & 18 & -6 \\ -126 & 55 & -18 \\ -63 & 27 & -8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -56 & 24 & -8 \\ -168 & 72 & -24 \\ -84 & 36 & -12 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \mathbf{Z}_{(4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, both matrix-functions, $\Phi(t)$ and $\Psi(t)$, satisfy the second order differential equation. The initial conditions follow from the following equations:

$$\Phi(0) = \mathbf{0},$$

$$\Psi(0) = \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{I},$$

$$\dot{\Phi}(0) = \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} = \mathbf{I},$$

$$\dot{\Psi}(0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

3.4 (20 points) For the matrices $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -731 & 228 & 690 \\ -410 & 131 & 385 \\ -650 & 202 & 614 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -41 & 18 & -6 \\ -126 & 55 & -18 \\ -63 & 27 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$, construct the exponential matrices

$$\mathbf{U}_A(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{U}_B(t) = e^{\mathbf{B}t}.$$

Show that these matrix-functions are (unique) solutions of the following matrix initial value problems:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{U}_A(t) = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}_A(t), \quad \mathbf{U}_A(0) = \mathbf{I} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{U}_B(t) = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U}_B(t), \quad \mathbf{U}_B(0) = \mathbf{I},$$

respectively.

Solution. *Grading: +5 for constructing $\mathbf{U}_A(t)$; +5 for constructing $\mathbf{U}_B(t)$; +5 for showing that $\mathbf{U}_A(t)$ satisfies the differential equation; +5 for showing that $\mathbf{U}_B(t)$ satisfies its differential equation.*

(a) Since Sylvester's auxiliary matrices are known, we build the exponential matrix

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathbf{A}t} &= \mathbf{U}_A(t) = e^{9t} \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + e^{4t} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + e^t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} \\ &= e^{9t} \begin{bmatrix} -99 & 36 & 90 \\ -55 & 20 & 50 \\ -88 & 32 & 80 \end{bmatrix} + e^{4t} \begin{bmatrix} 20 & -20 & -10 \\ 10 & -10 & -5 \\ 18 & -18 & -9 \end{bmatrix} + e^t \begin{bmatrix} 80 & -36 & -80 \\ 45 & -9 & -45 \\ 70 & -14 & -70 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This matrix function is a (unique) solution of the matrix initial value problem:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{U}_A(t) = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}_A(t), \quad \mathbf{U}_A(0) = \mathbf{I}.$$

To check that matrix function $\mathbf{U}(t)$ is a solution to the above differential equation, we differentiate each term:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{U}_A(t) = 9e^{9t} \mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + 4e^{4t} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + e^t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)}.$$

Since we showed previously that

$$9\mathbf{Z}_{(9)} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}_{(9)}, \quad 4\mathbf{Z}_{(4)} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}_{(4)}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}_{(1)},$$

we conclude that the matrix function $\mathbf{U}_A(t)$ is a solution of the given matrix differential equation. The initial condition follows from

$$\mathbf{Z}_{(9)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} + \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} = \mathbf{I}.$$

The matrix \mathbf{B} is diagonalizable because its minimal polynomial is a product of simple terms $\psi(\lambda) = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)$. Sylvester's auxiliary matrices become

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} &= \frac{\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{I}}{4 - 1} = \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} &= \frac{\mathbf{B} - 4\mathbf{I}}{1 - 4} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix.

Now we construct the exponential and cosine (3×3) matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}(t) &= e^{\mathbf{B}t} = e^t \mathbf{Z}_{(1)} + e^{4t} \mathbf{Z}_{(4)} \\ &= e^t \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + e^{4t} \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

To show that the matrix-function $\mathbf{U}(t) = e^{\mathbf{B}t}$ is a solution of the differential equation $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$, we first differentiate it

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{U}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} e^{\mathbf{B}t} = e^t \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -6 & 2 \\ 42 & -17 & 6 \\ 21 & -9 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + 4e^{4t} \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & -2 \\ -42 & 18 & -6 \\ -21 & 9 & -3 \end{bmatrix},$$

and then calculate the products: $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_{(4)}$ that was done in part (3).

3.5 (20 points) Find square roots of the matrix $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} -14 & 6 & 0 \\ -24 & 10 & 3 \\ 33 & -15 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$.

Solution. Grading: +5 for constructing the resolvent. +5 for evaluating residues. +5 for final constructing of all four square roots. +5 for showing that the square of the root matrix is actually the given matrix \mathbf{C} .

Since the resolvent of the matrix \mathbf{C} is

$$\mathbf{R} = (\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C})^{-1} = \frac{1}{(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 - 20\lambda + 145 & 6(\lambda - 10) & 18 \\ 339 - 24\lambda & \lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 140 & 3(\lambda + 14) \\ 33\lambda + 30 & 3(5\lambda + 4) & (\lambda + 2)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

the given matrix is not diagonalizable. So we can not calculate Sylvester's auxiliary matrices and we have to use the resolvent method.

```
c = {{-14, 6, 0}, {-24, 10, 3}, {33, -15, 10}}
Rc = Simplify[Inverse[lambda*IdentityMatrix[3] - c]]
{{(145 - 20 lambda + lambda^2)/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2), (
 6 (-10 + lambda))/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2),
 18/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2)}, {((
 339 - 24 lambda)/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2), (-140 + 4 lambda +
 lambda^2)/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2),
 3 (14 + lambda))/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2)}, {((
 30 + 33 lambda)/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2), -(((
 3 (4 + 5 lambda))/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2)), (2 +
 lambda)^2/((-4 + lambda) (-1 + lambda)^2)})}}
```

For future calculations, we need the expression for numerator:

```
RR[x_] = Simplify[
  Inverse[x*IdentityMatrix[3] - c]*(x - 1)^2 * (x - 4)]
```

First, we calculate the residue at eigenvalue $\lambda = 4$:

$$\mathbf{r}_4 = \underset{\lambda=4}{\text{Res}} \mathbf{R} \sqrt{\lambda} = \pm \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -4 & 2 \\ 27 & -12 & 6 \\ 18 & -8 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

```
R4 = FullSimplify[2*RR[4]/(4 - 1)^2]
```

Then we calculate the residue at $\lambda = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_1 &= \underset{\lambda=1}{\text{Res}} \mathbf{R} \sqrt{\lambda} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathbf{R}(\lambda) \sqrt{\lambda} (\lambda - 1)^2 \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -58 & 26 & -10 \\ -159 & 71 & -27 \\ -57 & 25 & -9 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

```
R1 = FullSimplify[D[RR[x]*Sqrt[x]/(x - 4), x] /. x -> 1]
```

There are four matrix roots depending on sign of a square root, but we need only two of them because other two matrix roots will have opposite sign:

$$\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_4 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -22 & 10 & -2 \\ -51 & 23 & -3 \\ 15 & -7 & 7 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_4 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -94 & 42 & -18 \\ -267 & 119 & -51 \\ -129 & 57 & -25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We check with *Mathematica*:

```
r1 = R1 + R4
{{{-11, 5, -1}, {-(51/2), 23/2, -(3/2)}, {15/2, -(7/2), 7/2}}
r2 = R1 - R4
{{{-47, 21, -9}, {-(267/2), 119/2, -(51/2)}, {-(129/2), 57/2, -(25/2)}}
r1.r1 - c
{{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}
r2.r2 - c
{{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}}
```

Here is Matlab code to find square root of a nondiagonalizable matrix:

```
1 clear all; close all; clc;

3 % this script computes the square root of a nondiagonalizable matrix using
% the resolvent method
5 C = [-14 6 0;
       -24 10 3;
       33 -15 10];

7 syms t;

9 % compute the resolvent of C
R = inv(C-t*eye(3));

11 % we can display the result in a slightly more presentable form:
13 pretty(R);

15 % symbolically evaluate the residues of f(t)*R(t) at t=1 and t=4, where
% f(t) = sqrt(t)
17 r4 = simplify(R*sqrt(t)*(t-4));
19 r1 = diff(simplify(R*sqrt(t)*(t-1)^2), t);
```

```
% evaluate residues at the eigenvalues
23 res4 = subs(r4,4);
res1 = subs(r1,1);
25 SqC_1 = res1 + res4;
SqC_2 = res1 - res4;
27
29 % there are four roots of the matrix
pretty(SqC_1)
31 pretty(-SqC_1)
pretty(SqC_2)
33 pretty(-SqC_2)
```